



KONGERIKET NORGE
The Kingdom of Norway

REC'D 24 FEB 2005

WIPO PCT

Bekreftelse på patentsøknad nr
Certification of patent application no

▽
20040263

► Det bekreftes herved at vedheftede dokument er nøyaktig utskrift/kopi av ovennevnte søknad, som opprinnelig inngitt 2004.01.20

► *It is hereby certified that the annexed document is a true copy of the above-mentioned application, as originally filed on 2004.01.20*

2005.02.08

Line Reum

Line Reum
Saksbehandler



PRIORITY DOCUMENT
SUBMITTED OR TRANSMITTED IN
COMPLIANCE WITH
RULE 17.1(a) OR (b)



Søknad om patent

Ferdig utfylt skjema sendes til adressen nedenfor. Vennligst ikke heft sammen sidene.
Vi ber om at blankettene utfylles *maskinelt* eller ved bruk av *blokkbokstaver*. Skjema for utfylling på datamaskin kan lastes ned fra **www.patentstyret.no**.

Søker Den som søker om patent blir også innehaver av en eventuell rettighet. Må fylles ut!

Foretakets navn (fornavn hvis søker er person):

Telenor ASA

Etternavn (hvis søker er person):

☐ Kryss av hvis søker tidligere har vært kunde hos Patentstyret.

Oppgi gjerne kundennummer:

Adresse:

Snarøyveien 30

Postnummer:

1331

Poststed:

Fornebu

Land:

☐ Kryss av hvis flere søkere er angitt i medfølgende skjema eller på eget ark.

☐ Kryss av hvis søker(ne) utfører mindre enn 20 årsverk (se veiledning).

☐ Kryss av hvis det er vedlagt erklæring om at patentsøker(ne) innehar retten til oppfinnelsen.

Kontaktinfo Hvem skal Patentstyret henvende seg til? Oppgi telefonnummer og eventuell referanse.

Fornavn til kontaktperson for fullmektig eller søker:

Harald

Etternavn:

Tafford

Telefon:

2 1 0 0 9 0 0 0

Referanse (maks. 30 tegn):

156586/HT/vw



Evt. adresse til kontaktperson:

c/o Oslo Patentkontor AS

Postboks 7007 M

Postnummer:

0306

Poststed:

OSLO

Land:

Fullmektig Hvis du ikke har oppnevnt en fullmektig, kan du gå til neste punkt.

Foretakets navn (fornavn hvis fullmektig er person):

Oslo Patentkontor AS

Etternavn (hvis fullmektig er person):

☒ Kryss av hvis fullmektig tidligere har vært kunde hos Patentstyret.

Oppgi gjerne kundennummer: 3 0 0 8 2

Adresse:

Postboks 7007 M

Postnummer:

0306

Poststed:

OSLO

Land:

Oppfinner Oppfinneren skal alltid oppgis, selv om oppfinner og søker er samme person.

Oppfinnerens fornavn:

Steinar

Etternavn:

Bjørnstad

☐ Kryss av hvis oppfinner tidligere har vært kunde hos Patentstyret.

Oppgi gjerne kundennummer:

Adresse:

Fagertunveien 31 B

Postnummer:

1357

Poststed:

Bekkestua

Land:

☐ Kryss av hvis flere oppfinnere er angitt i medfølgende skjema eller på eget ark.

ADRESSE

Postboks 8160 Dep.
Københavngaten 10
0033 Oslo

TELEFON

22 38 73 00

TELEFAKS

22 38 73 01

BANKGIRO

8276.01.00192
ORGANISASJONSNR.
971526157 MVA



PATENTSTYRET

Styret for det industrielle rettsvern

SØKNAD S. 1 AV 2

FLERE SØKERE

FLERE OPPFINNERE

PRIORITETER

VEILEDNING

**Tittel** Gi en kort benevnelse eller tittel for oppfinnelsen (ikke over 256 tegn, inkludert mellomrom).Tittel:
En fremgangsmåte og anordning for en forbedret bufferløsning i en svitsj for kommunikasjonsnettverk**PCT** Fylles bare ut hvis denne søknaden er en videreføring av en tidligere innlevert internasjonal søknad (PCT).

Inngivelsesdato (åååå.mm.dd):

Søknadsnummer:

PCT-søknadens dato og nummer:

PCT

/

Prioritetskrav Hvis du ikke har søkt om denne oppfinnelsen tidligere (i et annet land eller i Norge) kan du gå videre til neste punkt.**Prioritet kreves på grunnlag av tidligere innlevert søknad i Norge eller utlandet:**

Inngivelsesdato (åååå.mm.dd):

Landkode:

Søknadsnummer:

Opplysninger om tidligere søknad. Ved flere krav skal tidligste prioritet angis her:

☐ Flere prioritetskrav er angitt i medfølgende skjema, eller på eget ark.**Mikroorganisme** Fylles bare ut hvis oppfinnelsen omfatter en mikroorganisme.**Søknaden omfatter en kultur av mikroorganisme. Deponeringssted og nummer må oppgis:**

Deponeringssted og nummer (benytt gjerne eget ark):

☐ Prøve av kulturen skal bare utleveres til en særlig sakkyndig.**Avdelt/utskilt** Hvis du ikke har søkt om patent i Norge tidligere, kan du gå videre til neste punkt.**Søknaden er avdelt eller utskilt fra tidligere levert søknad i Norge:**☐ Avdelt søknad

Informasjon om opprinnelig

Dato (åååå.mm.dd):

Søknadsnummer:

☐ Utskilt søknad

søknad/innsendt tilleggsmateriale

Annet☐ Søknaden er også levert per telefaks.

Oppgi dato (åååå.mm.dd):

☐ Jeg har bedt om forundersøkelse.

Oppgi nr (årstall - nummer - bokstav):

Vedlegg Angi hvilken dokumentasjon av oppfinnelsen du legger ved, samt andre vedlegg.☒ Eventuelle tegninger i to eksemplarer

Oppgi antall tegninger:

0 | 4

☒ Beskrivelse av oppfinnelsen i to eksemplarer

på engelsk

☒ Patentkrav i to eksemplarer

på engelsk

☒ Sammendrag i to eksemplarer

på engelsk

☐ Dokumentasjon av eventuelle prioritetskrav (prioritetsbevis)☐ Fullmaktsdokument(er)☐ Overdragelsesdokument(er)☐ Oversettelse av internasjonal søknad i to eksemplarer (kun hvis PCT-felt over er fylt ut)☐ Erklæring om retten til oppfinnelsen**Dato/underskrift** Sjekk at du har fylt ut punktene under «Søker», «Oppfinner» og «Vedlegg». Signer søknaden.

Sted og dato (blokkbokstaver):

Oslo, 20. januar 2004

Navn i blokkbokstaver:

Oslo Patentkontor AS

Signatur:

OSLO PATENTKONTOR AS
OSLO**NB!** Søknadsavgiften vil bli fakturert for alle søknader (dvs. at søknadsavgiften ikke skal følge søknaden).
Betalingsfrist er ca. 1 måned, se faktura.**PATENTSTYRET®**
Styret for det industrielle rettsvern

20. januar 2004

HT/AH

O: 156586

Søker:

Telenor ASA

Snarøyvn. 30

1331 Fornebu

Oppfinner:

Steinar Bjørnstad

Fagertunveien 31 B

1357 Bekkestua

Tittel:

En fremgangsmåte og anordning for en forbedret bufferløsning i en svitsj for kommunikasjonsnettverk.

FULLMEKTIG:

Oslo Patentkontor AS, Postboks 7007M, 0306 Oslo

Field of the Invention

The present invention relates to a switch and a method for organizing dataflow through a switch within packet switched or bursts switched networks. More particularly it relates to a switch within a telecommunication or data communication network, where the switch includes one or more outputs and a buffer unit, further it relates to a method for organizing dataflow in a telecommunication or data communication network including at least one switch where said switch is associated with at least one buffer.

Background of the invention

Despite the economic recession, network traffic continuous to grow. Optical Packet Switching (OPS) is a promising candidate for a future cost effective network, supporting both high throughput and utilization. Two main issues of interest in OPS are however optical synchronization and buffering. Recently, a number of works has focused on using asynchronous packet switching, thereby avoiding the optical synchronization unit [1], [2]. Because of the immaturity of optical memory, Fiber Delay Line (FDL) based optical buffering in combination with using the wavelength dimension for contention resolution (i.e. a packet can be forwarded on an arbitrarily wavelength leading to the destination), has been investigated [3], [2]. An alternative to FDL's is to use electronic memory with a limited number of buffer inputs [1,3]. In either case, buffer interfaces, consisting of FDL's or OE-converters (opto electronic converters), will represent a major cost factor for an optical packet switched system and should therefore be minimized [4].

Delay through an OPS network is negligible compared to transmission delay [1]. However, if the buffer has fewer input interfaces than the total number of switch inputs,

only a fraction of the packets passing through the switch will be buffered and hence, delayed [1,4].

In an asynchronous system, if packets can be scheduled from the buffer to the outputs, without causing contention with new packets from the inputs, PLR (packet loss ratio) will be brought to a minimum limit. This approach is called Input Priority (IP), since scheduling priority is given to the new packets arriving at the input, instead of to the buffered packets (i.e. Buffer Priority (BP)). In a Slotted IP system, this is simple. At the start of a time slot, packets in the buffer are scheduled only if there are vacant wavelengths after scheduling the packets arriving at the input. In asynchronous VLP (Variable Length Packets) operation, packet arrival and duration is not predictable. A new packet can arrive at the input at any random moment after a packet was scheduled from the buffer, hence making total Asynchronous IP (AIP) impossible when the number of buffer ports is limited.

Further, in asynchronous optical Metro packet rings, the same problem as above arises. When aggregating new packets onto the ring in the access nodes, packets already at the ring may contend with new packets. A detection circuit combined with a delay, e.g. a Fibre Delay Line (FDL), may be applied to first detect and then delay packets before passing the access node. However, this calls for extra components, both detectors and FDLs, making the principle potentially expensive. Additionally, in order to avoid packet collision when new packets enters the Metro ring, the FDLs will need to delay the packets longer than the duration of the longest of the new packets that enters the ring. This will impose additional delay of the packets already in the packet ring.

In this patent application, with reference to simulations, the ability of the fixed and incremental FDL buffering

schemes described in [4], as well as electronic buffering, to support applications with a high demand to packet sequence and PLR is described.

Thus it is obvious that an asynchronous OPS system with a
5 good PLR is needed. According to the present invention these and other problems will be solved using an Asynchronous Input Priority algorithm (AIP3Q) for VLP, that sorts buffered packets into a number of Queues in accordance with the packet length distribution, according
10 to the present invention The algorithm is optimized for systems using the wavelength dimension for contention resolution with the goal of optimizing the buffer performance with respect to minimizing Packet Loss Ratio (PLR) and packet reordering.

15 Summary of the invention

It is an object of the present invention to provide an arrangement and a method avoiding the above described problems.

20 The features defined in the independent claims enclosed characterize this method.

In particular, the present invention provides a switch and/or a Metro access node within a telecommunication or data communication network, where the switch includes one or more outputs and a buffer unit, said buffer unit is
25 either an integral part of the switch or an external part of the switch which can communicate with the switch, the buffer being adapted to hold data either in packages and/or in other formats such as databursts or data streams specified in that the buffered data and/or packages of data
30 are divided into a number of queues.

Further the present invention discloses a method for

organizing dataflows in a telecommunication or data communication network including at least one switch where said switch is associated with at least one buffer and at least a dataflow that can be split into data packages said
 5 dataflow is communicating with the switch and the data packages are buffered in the buffer, and further the buffered data packages is divided into a number of queues according to certain parameters of the data packages such as the length of the data packages.

10 Brief Description of the drawings

In order to make the invention more readily understandable, the discussion that follows will refer to the accompanying drawing.

Figure 1 illustrates a generic model of a simulated switch,

15 Figure 2 is, for a switch, illustrating PLR (Y-axis) as a function of time packets stay in the buffer (X-axis). Clocking in and out of the buffer is not counted into the delay. Some of the plotted points, indicates both high PLR and delay. These points are a result of unfavourable
 20 combinations of Wv_1 - Wv_3 ,

Figure 3 illustrates PLR (Y-axis) as a function of number of buffer interfaces (X-axis), Sd = Standard Deviation,

Figure 4 is illustrating Delay in units of duration of mean packet length (units, Y-axis), as a function of number of
 25 buffer interfaces (X-axis), Sd = Standard Deviation.

Detailed description of the invention

In the following is given a detailed description of a queue arrangement system of general usage; however in the following description it is described with references to

telecommunication and data communication systems. The disclosed arrangement and method is characterised in that it is very flexible in that it employs a feedback buffer, wherein the feedback buffer can be of any kind provided it can be accessed at an arbitrary point of time. Further to accomplish the advantages of the present invention the queue system will be of a type where there is a number of queues and the queues are prioritizing queues where certain parameters is used to decide the amount of queues and/or the dimensioning of the queues. Within telecommunication and/or data communication a natural choice for the criterion parameter is the length of the packages of data and/or the length of the data in other formats such as databursts, data streams or any other dataflow that can be split into packages.

Following the idea of queue organisation of data within a data communication or telecommunication network one can further describe the prioritising of the queues with regard to the length of data packages where a first range of length of packages is associated with a first queue, a second range of package length is associated to a second queue, a third range of package length is associated with a third queue and a n'th length of packages is associated with queue number n.

A very effective use of the invention is within networks with optical package switching employing WDM and where priority according to a set of rules are given regarding data entering a switch from the network, hence an input priority (IP) scheme will be described in details in the following with references to the accompanying drawings.

The described principle is intended to support applications with a high demand to packet sequence and PLR, still saving component costs. This is achieved using electronic buffering in combination with optical switching for optical

packet switches. In Metro packet rings, electronic queuing systems are applied, using the buffering solution according to the present invention.

A first embodiment of the present invention

5 An approximation to IP can however be done, strongly reducing the contention problem caused by scheduling packets from the buffer. This is achieved when using the proposed AIP3Q algorithm according to the present invention. PLR and reordering of packets is a trade off. To
10 reduce the probability for contention, we can let the packets stay in the buffer until a given number of wavelengths leading to the buffered packets destination is vacant. The higher the number of wavelengths, the lower the probability for congestion. The drawback is that due to the
15 increased delay of the buffered packets, the degree of packet reordering will also increase. A balance between delay and gained PLR must therefore be made.

The short packets will occupy the output-resources for a short time. The probability for the next packet arriving at
20 the switch will be blocked when arriving at a random time after a short packet will therefore be lower than if the previous packet was a long packet. Since buffered short packets introduce a lower probability for contention than the long packets that are buffered, hence according to the
25 present invention the buffered packets is divided into three queues according to the length of the packets. The number of wavelengths that needs to be vacant before scheduling packets from the queue with short packets can be lower than for the queue with the medium length packets,
30 which again has lower demands to number of vacant wavelengths than the queue with the longest packets. The number of minimum vacant wavelengths before a queue is serviced can be defined as: Wv_1 , Wv_2 and Wv_3 for the three queues respectively. An empirical Internet packet length

distribution like in [1] is assumed, and the packet length ranges for the three queues is defined, Q_1 , 40-44 bytes (B), Q_2 45-576 B and Q_3 577-1500 B. To set Wv_1 , a simulation is performed for 32 wavelengths, 8 input fibres, assuming independent input sources and Poisson packet arrival, load 0.8, and set the number of buffer ports to 16. Wv_1 - Wv_3 is then varied while always keeping $Wv_1 < Wv_2 < Wv_3$, finding the PLR as a function of mean delay of the buffered packets and the values of Wv_1 - Wv_3 . A generic model of the simulated switch and the simulation results are shown in fig. 1.

At a buffer delay of two mean packet lengths (three when counting delay caused by clocking), the PLR are close to an asymptote. Hence this value of the delay is chosen and it is found by traversing the simulation data, that $Wv_1 = 5$, $Wv_2 = 7$ and $Wv_3 = 10$.

To emulate the ideal case of total IP, i.e. no extra contention is caused by packets scheduled from the buffer, buffered packets can simply be dropped and not counted in the PLR statistics. This will give the minimum limit, titled IPLim in figure 2, for the achievable PLR in the described system. As a measure of packet reordering, the mean delay of the buffered packets and its Standard Deviation (Sd) is used. In figure 2, the PLR and delay parameters performance for different buffering schemes, also FDL buffering schemes not employing AIP3Q, is shown. The two FDL buffering schemes: INCremental FDL (INC), and FIXed FDL (FIX), both employs buffer priority, since buffered packets are scheduled (or dropped) as soon as they appear at the output of the FDL's. Also the performance of an electronic buffer with Buffer Priority (BP), where packets in the buffer are scheduled as soon as an output becomes available, is found.

In [5], it is suggested that demanding applications will require a PLR of 10^{-6} or better. In the following

discussions parameters for a PLR of 10^{-6} which is regarded as sufficient even for demanding real-time applications, is therefore compared. When using a reasonable number of buffer interfaces, the FIX scheme does not show a sufficiently low PLR. At the performance limit, IPLim, 27 buffer interfaces is required for achieving a sufficient PLR. The electronic BP scheme needs 46 interfaces, which is 70 % higher than the limit, while using AIP3Q, the performance is very close to the limit. Using the INC scheme, 34 buffer interfaces, 26 % more than the limit, is needed. This demonstrates that FDL buffering can be quite effective for reducing PLR in asynchronous packet switching.

However, looking at the delay performance, the INC scheme both shows the highest mean delay and Sd. If these values are added, a value indicating a delay that it is likely to find on some of the buffered packets will be found. For the INC scheme, this value is 14 units. The mean packet length is 286 B. Comparing packets of equal length, assuming they belong to the same application, this implies that in the worst case, some of the shortest packets of 40 B can be passed by more than $(286 \text{ B} / 40 \text{ B}) * 14 \text{ units} = 100$ short packets. This may limit the maximum bandwidth of an application, not tolerating packet reordering, to 1/100 of the links bandwidth. Studying the performance of AIP3Q, the sum of mean delay and its Sd equals 6 units. Doing the same calculation as above, application bandwidth may be limited to 1/43 of the links bandwidth. However, since the queue for short packets have the highest scheduling priority, the figure found must be considered as a conservative value compared to the value for the INC scheme. Looking at the BP scheme, packets can be scheduled as soon as they are clocked in to the buffer and a vacant output is found. The mean time a packet stays in the buffer is then found to be as low as $6.8 * 10^{-4}$ units, and therefore not plotted in the figure. Hence, packets of the same length may, because of

the clocking of the packet into the buffer, be reordered on the link if the same packet is buffered in several preceding switches. Reordering of the packets of an application is therefore only likely if it demands a bandwidth close to the link bandwidth.

Future applications may have strict demands to both PLR and reordering of packets. Given a asynchronous optical packet switch with limited number of buffer interfaces, the achievable service quality with respect to the mentioned parameters has been evaluated for two electronic and two FDL based buffering schemes. Results show that fixed length FDL's are inefficient, and a sufficiently low PLR cannot be reached. The incremental length FDL's shows good PLR performance, however the figures for delay indicates that reordering of packets is likely to occur if the applications bandwidth demand is equal to or higher than 1/100 of the link bandwidth. When using electronic buffering, a trade off between PLR and packet reordering can be made. The suggested AIP3Q algorithm shows a PLR performance close to an achievable limit, while the critical applications bandwidth can be more than doubled compared with the use of the incremental FDL scheme. If the critical applications bandwidth is close to the link bandwidth, an electronic buffer priority (BP) scheme can be used, but at the cost of adding 70 % more buffer interfaces. When choosing buffering scheme in optical packet switches, in addition to the implementation costs, also the service quality demands from future applications must therefore be carefully considered.

A second preferred embodiment of the invention

In the first preferred embodiment it was described how packet data, data burst etc. were forwarded from the switch input to the number of buffers according to the AIP3Q algorithm.

However, this approach is but one out of a number of approaches where the principles of AIP3Q algorithm according to the present invention can be utilized.

Imagine a system comprising a media access protocol for
5 accessing an asynchronous (metro) packet ring. Following
this approach, one will realize that, the only difference
in principle is that the packets or databursts will be
routed from external lines and directly to the number of
buffers. More specifically the input to the buffers will be
10 low bit rate lines - aggregating inputs - which will be
aggregated in different queues to the switch' outputs.
Hence aggregation of packets in a number of different
queues, where each queue, one or more, has packets with a
defined packet length associated thereto, for access to a
15 number of outputs, is described according to the present
invention. The output can preferably be WDM outputs, and
the buffers, or aggregating queues can be of an electronic
or optical type. As will be evident from the foregoing the
AIP3Q algorithm is a most versatile algorithm for traffic
20 handling within a switch.

Note that while in the foregoing, there has been provided a
detailed description of particular embodiments of the
present invention, it is to be understood that equivalents
are to be included within the scope of the invention as
25 claimed.

References

- [1] S. Bjørnstad et al., "A scalable optical packet switch for variable length packets employing shared electronic buffering." ECOC 2002, vol. 3, P.4.7, 2002.
- 5 [2] F. Callegati, G. Corazza, C. Raffaelli: "Exploitation of DWDM for optical packet switching with quality of service guarantees", IEEE J. Sel. Areas Comm. vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 190-201, January 2002.
- [3] M. J. O' Mahony, D. Simeonidou, D. K. Hunter, A. Tzanakaki: "The application of optical packet switching in
10 future communication networks", IEEE Comm. Mag. vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 128-135, March 2001.
- [4] C. Develder, M. Pickavet, P. Deemester: "Choosing an appropriate buffer strategy for an optical packet switch
15 with a feed-back FDL buffer" In: Proceedings of, ECOC 2002, vol. 3, pp. 8.5.4 (2 pages).
- [5] R.J. Gibbens...[et al.]: "An approach to service level agreements for IP networks with differentiated services", Statistical Laboratory, University of Cambridge and
20 Internet and Data Networks, British Telecommunications plc., (Article submitted to Royal Society).



P a t e n t c l a i m s

1. A switch and/or a Metro access node within a telecommunication or data communication network, where the switch includes one or more outputs and a buffer unit, said
5 buffer unit is either an integral part of the switch or an external part of the switch which can communicate with the switch, the buffer being adapted to hold data either in packages and/or in other formats such as databursts or data streams
10 c h a r a c t e r i z e d i n that the buffered data and/or packages of data are divided into a number of queues.
2. A switch according to claim 1,
c h a r a c t e r i z e d i n that the number of queues
15 are prioritizing queues where the prioritizing criterion are the length of the packages of data and/or the length of the data in other formats such as databursts or data streams.
3. A switch according to claim 1 or 2,
20 c h a r a c t e r i z e d i n that packages of data and/or data in other formats such as databursts or data streams with a length within a first range is associated with a first queue,
packages of data and/or data in other formats such as
25 databursts or data streams with a length within a second range is associated with a second queue, and/or
packages of data and/or data in other formats such as
databursts or data streams with a length within a third
range is associated with a third queue, further packages of
30 data and/or data in other formats such as databursts or data streams of other lengths may be associated with an arbitrary number of ranges and each range can be associated

with a specific queue as indicated for the first, second and third queue.

4. A switch according to any of the previous claims,
c h a r a c t e r i z e d i n that the data, databurst
5 or data streams at the buffer unit input originates from
lines external to the switch.

5. A switch according to any of the previous claims,
c h a r a c t e r i z e d i n that external lines are
low bit rate lines such as aggregation inputs such as metro
10 access rings.

6. A switch according to any of the claims 1-3,
c h a r a c t e r i z e d i n that the data, databurst
or data streams at the buffer unit input is routed from a
one or more switch' inputs.

15 7. A switch according to one of the previous claims,
c h a r a c t e r i z e d i n that the switch is
operating within one of the following nets:

-a optical packet switched network,

-a optical bursts switched network,

20 -a electronic packet switched network,

-a electronic bursts switched network.

8. A switch according to claim 4,
c h a r a c t e r i z e d i n that the switch can be
either an optical switching unit or an electronic switching
25 unit.

9. A switch according to claim 6,
c h a r a c t e r i z e d i n that the outputs and/or

inputs of the switch is WDM signals.

10. A switch according to claim 8,
c h a r a c t e r i z e d i n that the buffer is of
electronic type.

5 11. A method for organizing dataflows in a
telecommunication or data communication network including
at least one switch where said switch is associated with at
least one buffer and at least a dataflow that can be split
into data packages said dataflow is communicating with the
10 switch and the data packages are buffered in the buffer and
further c h a r a c t e r i z e d i n that the buffered
data packages is divided into a number of queues according
to certain parameters of the data packages such as the
length of the data packages.

15 12. A method according to claim 11,
c h a r a c t e r i z e d i n that data packages with a
length within a first range is associated with a first
queue,


data packages with a length within a second range is
20 associated with a second queue, and/or

data packages with a length within a third range is
associated with a third queue, further data packages of
other lengths may be associated with an arbitrary number of
ranges and each range can be associated with a specific
25 queue.



A b s t r a c t

In asynchronous optical packet switches, scheduling packets from a buffer randomly will cause less efficient utilisation of the buffer. Additionally, reordering of packets may cause problems for service quality demanding applications. According to the present invention a new electronic buffer scheduling algorithm is proposed and a switch utilizing this algorithm is disclosed. The algorithm is designed for utilizing the buffer resources efficiently, still avoiding serious packet reordering.



1/4





Fig. 1. Generic model of simulated switch.






Figure 2. PLR (Y-axis) as a function of time packets stay in the buffer (X-axis). Clocking in and out of the buffer is not counted into the delay. Some of the plotted points, indicates both high PLR and delay. These points are a result of unfavorable combinations of Wv_1 - Wv_3 .






Figure 2. PLR (Y-axis) as a function of number of buffer interfaces (X-axis).



4/4




Figure 4. Delay in units of duration of mean packet length (units, Y-axis), as a function of number of buffer interfaces (X-axis).
Sd = Standard Deviation

